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Calculation results. We analyze the flat and droplet targets, homogeneous and nonhomogeneous in space, irradiated by CO\textsubscript{2} laser and Nd laser (1-, 2-, 3- harmonics) with different pulse duration and focal spot.

![Figure 3. Conversion efficiency of gadolinium target (at 6.775 nm in 0.5% band) irradiated by Nd laser (first harmonic)](image)

The results of detailed spectra calculations for homogeneous Gd droplet with radius $R = 100$ mkm are presented in Fig. 1. Figure shows, that with increasing the temperature the spectrum becomes more narrow and shifts to greater wavelengths.

In the Fig. 2 a comparison of Gd and Tb spectra.

![Figure 4. Calculated and experimental spectra for Gd plate irradiated by Nd laser (first harmonic)](image)

The conversion efficiency of Gd plate irradiated by Nd YAG laser (ie, yield of radiation in 0.5% band at $\lambda = 6.775$ nm divided by laser energy) in dependence over laser power density is shown in Fig. 3. As one can see from the picture, modelling by using the code RZLINE gives for solid flat Gd target CE $\sim 2\%$, which is in a good agreement with experiment.

Comparison of calculated and experimental spectra for the Gd plate irradiated by Nd laser (first harmonic) is given in Fig. 4.

It was Gaussian laser pulse with duration 30 ns, energy 0.2 J and focal spot 200 mkm. There were used only 100 spectral photon groups in radiation transport calculations, but it is sufficient to represent spectral data in narrow 0.5% band.

The work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant No. 09-01-00881.
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Investigation of the propagation of acoustic disturbances is of great theoretical and practical interest. The microinterferometry pump-probe laser pulse technique allowed to extend such studies to the scale $\sim 1 - 1000$nm and $\sim 0.1 - 100$ps. At the previous conference “Elbrus-2010” we have shown a good agreement of the experimental time profiles of displacement of rear surfaces of aluminum films in entering them disturbances, caused by influence of ultrashort laser pulses, with the theoretical calculations.\textsuperscript{[1, 2]} However some delay between experimental and calculated data was required to obtain this agreement. The further investigation has shown, that it is not connected with inaccuracy in determining the thickness of the film or fixing 0 time, and is a manifestation of a new effect of superelasticity.

Theoretical calculations were performed using a two-temperature hydrodynamic code (2T-hd) in which we used wide-range equation of state for aluminum by Khishchenko et al.\textsuperscript{[3, 4]} Thus difference of crystals

\textsuperscript{1}EUV Sources for Lithography, Ed. V.Bakshi, SPIE, 1057p., 2006.


from liquids and plastic materials was not considered. In fact, the longitudinal sound speed in crystals $c_{el} = \sqrt{K + 4G/3}/\rho$ is different for different crystallographic directions and exceeds the “plastic” sound speed $c_{pl} = \sqrt{K/\rho}$, where $K = -V\partial p/\partial V$ is the bulk modulus of elasticity, $G$ is the shear modulus. The use of “plastic” equation of state did not raise the doubts since the characteristic values of the speed, deformation and pressure considerably exceeds the Hugoniot elastic limit (HEL), for Al $p_{HEL}$ is less than $\sim 1$ GPa (see fig. 4 in [5]). However, the experimental values of the shock wave (SW) velocity were the relevant to the elastic sound speed.

In Fig. 1 by markers in the form of crosses are presented the data obtained in femtosecond laser experiments described in [2, 5] in comparison with the elastic ($S_{el}$) and plastic ($S_{pl}$) Hugoniot. Horizontal and vertical sizes of the crosses give the measurement error. For $S_{pl}$ dependence $D_{pl} = c_{pl} + 1.37u_p$ (speed in km/s) approximates a large number of experimental data on aluminum [4], here $u_p$—the speed of particles of matter behind the shock front (piston speed), $c_{pl} = 5.35$ km/s. All speeds are relative to a matter before the wave. The elastic adiabatic curve $S_{el}$ shown on fig. 1 as straight line, passes through the circles, obtained by molecular dynamic (MD) simulations[6, 8] with the EAM (Embedded Atom Method) potential for aluminum, taken according to [7]. It is an elastic adiabatic curve of uniaxial shock compression on the direction 111. Its approximation looks like $D = c + ku_p$ with coefficients $c = 7.051$ km/s, $k = 1.581$.

On Fig. 2 adiabatic curves $S_{el}$ and $S_{pl}$ are shown on plane $P - V/V_0$. While plastic adiabat $S_{pl}$ not limited to the high velocities and strains and goes into liquid adiabat at the intersection with the melting curve (the intersection is outside the frame of Fig. 1, 2), the elastic adiabat $S_{el}$ passes over the maker HEL and is limited at the large amplitudes by the theoretical shear strength limit. This extension is allocated in Fig. 2 by bold strokes and ends with bounding marker as a solid circle HEL*. As shown in [8] for aluminum limit $p_{HEL} \approx 30$ GPa. In this sense, the ideal crystal behind the shock front, related to the segment of the elastic adiabat $S_{el}$ between HEL and HEL*, is in a metastable elastically compressed state. Decay of

$\text{Figure 1.}$ Comparison of elastic ($S_{el}$) and plastic ($S_{pl}$) Hugoniot with experiment [2, 5] (crosses).

$\text{Figure 2.}$ Elastic ($S_{el}$) and plastic ($S_{pl}$) shock adiabat curves. The corresponding to Fig. 1 segment of MD $S_{el}$ covers the pressure range 7.4–33 GPa. Markers circles obtained by MD calculations. The lower circle with $P = 7.4$ GPa corresponds to the lower circle in Fig. 1

the this state goes through formation, movement and multiplication of dislocations and, therefore, occurs at a time determined by the position in the interval between the HEL and HEL*. Thus there is a relaxation of the shear stress and the transition from elastic to plastic state. Typically his time is in the picosecond region. Close to HEL* uniaxial strain is so great that the crystal cell is destroyed in the shock front in a layer thickness of the order of several interatomic distances for the time the order of 0.1 ps. On the interval 0-HEL shock-compressed crystal is stable.

With the extension of the elastic adiabatic HEL for there is a possibility of a new regime of SW propagation: steady-state coexistence of the elastic and plastic waves. Stationary one-wave two-band configuration corresponds to the Rayleigh line, passing through three points (1,0), $(v_{ew}, p_{ew})$ and $p_{stat}$ in Fig. 2 (ew-elastic wave). In this mode there are two zones—elastic and plastic. At constant pressure upon the piston $p_{stat}$ ($p_{OD} < p_{stat} < p_{OD*}$) the distance $d_{el}$ between elastic and plastic fronts does not change with time (stationary). The substance state in an elastic zone is presented by a point $p_{ew}$ on Fig. 2. This state is on the continuation of an elastic shock adiabatic curve found in articles [6, 8] (fat strokes on Fig. 2). Elastic material is harder than plastically transformed material, respectively elastic adiabat is steeper than the plastic. Therefore, the straight line $(1,0) - p_{ew} - p_{stat}$ first crosses the elastic shock adiabat. $P_{stat}$ point belongs to the plastic shock adiabat. This point represents plastically transformed state behind front of a plastic wave.

Fig. 3 shows the velocity profiles of the substance of the MD (solid line) and 2T-hd (dashed line) calculations with conditions corresponding to the experiment [9]: aluminum foil, the absorbed energy $F_{abs} = 2.6$ J/cm², the pulse duration $\tau_L = 0.12$ ps. Value $F_{abs} = 2.6$ J/cm² $= AF_{inc}$ corresponds to the intensity of the incident radiation $I_{inc} = 7.7 \cdot 10^{13}$ W/cm². [9] In this MD calculation the crystal is oriented in the direction 110 relative to the axis of motion $x$. As the origin $x = 0$ the initial position the frontal boundary of
the the stationary value pressure $p_{\text{stat}}$ is, first, the attenuation of the shock wave as it is not steady. There are two sources of nonstationarity — it is, first, the attenuation of the shock wave as it propagates into the bulk of the target and, secondly, the interaction between elastic and plastic waves. Pressure $p_{\text{up}}$ is 93 GPa behind plastic front in Fig. 3 above the stationary value $p_{\text{stat}} = 63$ GPa, which corresponds to the pressure $p_{\text{ew}} = 20$ GPa in a stationary configuration in Fig. 2. Thus the plastic Rayleigh line $p_{\text{ew}} \rightarrow p_{\text{up}}$ is steeper than the Rayleigh

As seen from Fig. 4 MD calculation (solid line) agrees well with the experimental data [9] (square markers). In 2T-hd calculation (dashed line) elastic precursor is absent. Note that in [9] the presence of the precursor was observed but its origin remains unexplained. Then it seemed an incredible idea of the existence of elastic effects in a large-amplitude waves. Calculated and experimental data agree by the time of exit of the precursor and the plastic front on the film surface and the velocity of substance behind precursor and a plastic shock (0.7 km/s and 3–4 km/s, compare to the MD profile in Fig. 3). Thus experiment confirms the existence of the elastic precursor before the plastic jump with an amplitude of 1 Mbar.

Elasto-plastic configuration (solid curve in Fig. 3) is not steady. There are two sources of nonstationarity — it is, first, the attenuation of the shock wave as it propagates into the bulk of the target and, secondly, the interaction between elastic and plastic waves. Pressure $p_{\text{up}}$ is 93 GPa behind plastic front in Fig. 3 above the stationary value $p_{\text{stat}} = 63$ GPa, which corresponds to the pressure $p_{\text{ew}} = 20$ GPa in a stationary configuration in Fig. 2. Thus the plastic Rayleigh line $p_{\text{ew}} \rightarrow p_{\text{up}}$ is steeper than the Rayleigh
ments carried out in [10]. Experiments [10] carried out on aluminum at the same intensity of $7.7 \times 10^{13}$ W/cm$^2$, as in [9]. Above we have presented theoretical results related to the film thickness of 3–9 $\mu$m at this intensity. Calculations show that the interval 3–9 $\mu$m elastic jump passes at a speed of 8.7–8.3 km/sec. When the jump moves off to a distance $x = 9$ $\mu$m pressure behind the shock is 13 GPa. If, however, with those authors of [10] assume that the jump is a plastic shock wave, then we come to a much greater pressure. This will need to obtain the observed in experiments [10] high average wave speed of about 9 km/s. According to the plastic shock adiabat it requires pressure behind the plastic shock of 70 GPa, even when the jump moves off to a distance of 9 $\mu$m.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 09-08-00969-a and Presidium of RAS program “Thermophysics and mechanics of extreme energy effects and physics of highly compressed matter”
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**Introduction** Non-linear effects in interaction of ultra relativistic electrons with intense terawatt laser beam has first been studied experimentally in 1996, when first four harmonics were observed in electron radiation spectra [1]. Rapid progress in the creation of high-power lasers permits one to achieve at present time petawatt (10$^{15}$ W) laser beams in the focus [2]. Such fields provide numerous drastic physical effects. Thus, high intensity colliding laser pulses can create abundant electron-positron pair plasma [3].

It was pointed out in [4] that quantum multi-photon radiation can be observable while few GeV electron collides head-on with a petawatt laser plane wave. Moreover such effect can take place within one laser wave period. In what follows we analyze such situations. It will be shown that an ordinary classical radiation theory approach permits one to describe the main futures of the basic electromagnetic processes like photon emission. The approach can naturally be generalized in order to take into account the quantum effects in photon emission like a radiation recoil as well as the influence of electron spin on radiation.

Theoretically high harmonic generation due to the interaction of intense laser waves with relativistic electrons based on classical electrodynamics was investigated recently in Ref.[5], where analytical formulas for the case of circularly polarized laser field have been obtained. We present here some more general approach which does not assume the laser beam to be a perfect plane wave, although numerical results are performed for linear polarized intense laser waves.

**Classical radiation theory** In order to gain physical insight without technical complications we start from relatively simple classical formulas. The spectral and angular distribution of energy radiated by a charged particle moving along a trajectory $r(t)$ with velocity $\beta(t) = v(t)/c$ has the form [6]

$$\frac{d^2I}{d\omega dt d\Omega} = \frac{e^2 \omega^2}{4\pi^2 c} |\mathbf{n} \times [\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{j}_\omega]|^2, \quad (1)$$

$$j_\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta(t) \exp(i\omega t - i\kappa t) dt, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega$ and $\kappa$ are the frequency and wave vector of the radiation, and $d\Omega$ is the differential of the solid angle, $c$ is the velocity of light.
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